

PARKING SURVEY – OTFORD

Carried out on 26th November 2015

VEHICLES PARKED	SEVENOAKS ROAD	WARHAM ROAD	BUBBLE STONE ROAD	DIRECTION OF DRIVER	TOTAL
6.30	8			STATION	8
6.30		5		RESIDENTS	5
6.31	2			STATION	2
6.35			3	STATION	3
6.38	2			STATION	2
6.50	2			COMMUTER BUS	2
6.58			2	STATION	2
7.00			2	COMMUTER BUS	2
7.10	1			SCHOOL	1
7.10	1			STATION	1
7.12	2			STATION	2
7.24	1			BEADLES GARAGE	1
7.30	1			HIGH STREET SHOP	1
7.37	2			STATION	2
7.40		1		SCHOOL	1
7.41		1		BEADLES GARAGE	1
7.42		1		BEADLES GARAGE	1
7.46	2			STATION	2
7.50	1			COMMUTER BUS	1
7.55			1	COMMUTER BUS	1
7.58		1		SCHOOL	1
8.00		1		SCHOOL	1
8.03	1			SCHOOL	1
8.04		2		SCHOOL	2
8.10		1		SCHOOL	1
8.12		1		HIGH STREET SHOP	1
8.15		1		SCHOOL	1
8.17		1		SCHOOL	1
8.19		1		BEADLES GARAGE	1
8.21		1		SCHOOL	1
8.23		1		STATION	1
8.25		1		SCHOOL	1
8.27		1		SCHOOL	1
8.28		1		HIGH STREET SHOP	1
8.31		1		SCHOOL	1
8.32		1		SCHOOL	1
8.36		1		SCHOOL	1
TOTAL PARKED	<u>26</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>8</u>	TOTAL COMMUTERS TOTAL SCHOOL TOTAL HIGH STREET SHOP TOTAL GARAGE RESIDENTS	<u>31</u> <u>16</u> <u>3</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u>

NOTE – PARKING SPACES AVAILABLE :
SEVENOAKS ROAD 25-27
WARHAM ROAD 23-25

ROAD FULL BY:
8.03 am
8.24 am

APPENDIX 2 – OTFORD PARKING PROPOSALS

Consultation Responses and Officer Recommendations

2. Bubblestone Road	Proposal 2a (Requested in Petition), Proposal 2b (Alternative Proposal)
Officer Recommendation: Neither proposal implemented	DISAGREE. PETITION PROPOSAL 2a TO BE IMPLEMENTED: No waiting 7-8.00am: To prevent early commuter parking which will free up parking for local workers and increasing village amenity.
Officer Comments: Negative comments in survey	DISAGREE: There are no negative comments in the consultation responses and only 27% against. Consultation results are 2:1 in favour.
3. High Street	Proposal 3a (Supplementary Proposal)
Officer Recommendation: Abandon	AGREE. Passed to Kent Highways to investigate traffic problems.
4. Sevenoaks Road	Proposal 4a (Requested in Petition), Proposal 4b (Requested in Petition) Proposal 4c (Requested in Petition)
Officer Recommendation 4a: Proposal not implemented	DISAGREE. AMENDMENT TO 4a REQUIRED: Concerns over loss of local amenity were raised in the consultation. An amendment from limited waiting to no waiting 7.00am-8.00am would prevent early commuter parking and increase parking for local workers and school staff improving the vitality of village.
Officer Comments 4a: Loss of amenity	DISAGREE: 1) Doing 'nothing' will not increase local amenity as commuters will continue to block the road. 2) The amendment also addresses the Officers concerns regarding traffic calming. 3) If all proposals are implemented there will be nowhere for displaced vehicles to move to without contravening S137(1) of the Highways Act.
Officer Recommendation 4b: Implement east side only	AGREE
Officer Recommendation 4c: Implement Proposal	AGREE
Officer Comments 4c: Parking available elsewhere	DISAGREE: If not implemented parking would not be available in nearby roads. All local roads are either blocked by commuters or have waiting restrictions.
5. Station Road	Proposal 5a (Complimentary proposal)
Officer Recommendations: 1) Subject to reduced proposals 2) Continue to monitor	DISAGREE: 1) Vehicles parking in this narrow road would contravene S137(1) of the Highways Act. 2) Agree monitor situation and implement if necessary.
Officer Comments: Displacement of vehicles	DISAGREE: Residents park in Leonard Avenue due to lack of off-street parking making commuter parking displacement here unlikely.
6. Warham Road	Proposal 6a (Requested in Petition), Proposal 6b (Requested in Petition) Proposal 6c (Alternative Proposal), Proposal 6d (Alternative Proposal)
Officer Recommendation 6a: Implement proposal	AGREE
Officer Recommendation 6b: Implement fully or in part	DISAGREE. PETITION PROPOSAL 6b TO BE IMPLEMENTED: Part restrictions on one side of the road will not address issues raised in the consultation or the Officers concerns.
Officer Comments: Part restrictions: 1) Will increase local amenity. 2) Will improve obstructions. 3) Required due to off-street parking. 4) Comply with Road Traffic Act 1984. 5) Will stop displacement of vehicles.	DISAGREE. Part-restrictions: 1) Will allow commuter parking and prevent local parking. 2) Will not address Officers obstruction and safety concerns as parking will continue on both sides of the road. 3) That residents with off-street parking are not entitled to parking for visitors. 4) Apply here because of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 this was not the case in the Tudors or Bubblestone areas where blanket coverage was introduced regardless of the large driveways in these roads. 5) If all petition proposals and amendments are implemented there will be nowhere for displaced vehicles to move to without contravening S137(1) of the Highways Act.
Officer Recommendation 6c: Not progressed	AGREE: Lack of support..
Officer Recommendation 6d: Implement fully or in part	DISAGREE: Parking bays were not requested by residents or respondents and will have a negative impact on the local amenity.
Officer Comments 6d: Implement modified version 6b	DISAGREE. PETITION PROPOSAL 6b TO BE IMPLEMENTED: A modified version of the petition proposal 6b would be confusing in such a small cul-de-sac and is unnecessary if implemented in conjunction with the amendment to proposal 4a) above. Part restrictions would not solve any of the Officer or respondents concerns and will not therefore increase parking for local workers or add to the vitality of the village.